A Pilot Study of Skin Cancer Risk Reduction Behaviors, Cancer Communication, and Skin Cancer Beliefs in Hispanics
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Abstract

Purpose: Given rising rates of deadly melanoma skin cancer in Hispanics, the study objective was to examine skin cancer-related risk reduction behaviors and beliefs to dictate content for culturally targeted skin cancer prevention strategies for Hispanics. Methods/Data Source: An anonymous survey was administered to waiting room volunteers in a primary care facility in Albuquerque, New Mexico to assess skin cancer risk reduction behaviors, screening, cancer information seeking and communication, as well as skin cancer beliefs in Hispanics (n=48) and Non-Hispanic Whites (n=36). Results: We found lower levels of sun protection clothing use among Hispanics compared to Non-Hispanic Whites, but comparable use of sunscreen and shade-seeking among these groups. Hispanic ethnicity was the most important predictor of skin cancer misconceptions, with skin cancer information overload and misconceptions reported more often in Hispanics. Conclusions: This study demonstrates the need for culturally relevant information for ethnic minority populations such as Hispanics who have shown an increased risk of presenting with later stage, more aggressive melanoma skin cancer.
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Introduction

Melanoma, the most deadly form of skin cancer (SC), is the sixth most common cancer in the United States and is the fourth most common cancer in New Mexico (New Mexico Tumor Registry [NMTR], 2012). Well-known risk factors for SC such as melanoma include light skin and hair phenotype (American Cancer Society [ACS], 2013), yet melanoma rates are increasing in United States Hispanics (Jaimes et al., 2013). Hispanics are more likely than Non-Hispanic Whites (NHW) to present with thicker tumors (>1mm; 34.5% vs. 24.9%), more regional involvement (12.4% vs. 8.3%), and distant disease (6.6% vs. 3.6%) all of which contribute to poorer prognosis (Jaimes et al., 2013). Most melanomas are caused by ultraviolet radiation, so SC risk reduction recommendations include daily sun protection such as sun avoidance, the use of hats and clothing to block UV exposure and the use of sunscreen (ACS, 2013). The American College of Preventive Medicine and the American Cancer Society recommend annual screening of patients who have a family history of melanoma, are ≥50 years old, have fair skin, or have atypical nevi and/or present with >50 nevi along with monthly skin self-examinations (ACS, 2013). Several studies have revealed that Hispanics may be largely unaware of their potential SC risks (Coups et al., 2013), which might limit their use of sun protection. Further, rates of physician screening and skin self-examination (SSE) for SC are lower in Hispanics versus NHW (Coups et al., 2013). Addressing the increased prevalence, morbidity, and mortality of
melanoma in Hispanics will require strategies to increase risk awareness and risk reduction.

This study examined SC risk reduction, screening behaviors, information seeking, and beliefs among Hispanic as compared to NHW individuals drawn from a primarily English-speaking primary care population in Albuquerque, New Mexico. New Mexico ranks first in the nation in the proportion of Hispanic residents (42.1%); the Albuquerque population is 39.9% Hispanic (U.S. Census Bureau, 2013). Albuquerque receives high levels of year-round sun exposure and is at higher altitude than many other areas of the United States, making it an important location for the development of SC education for Hispanics. Our findings will identify knowledge gaps in our clinic population to plan for the development of such materials in Albuquerque.

Methods

Design and Sample

We administered an anonymous survey to adult patient volunteers (N=104) within the General Internal Medicine clinic of the University of New Mexico (UNM). Inclusion/exclusion criteria were age ≥18 years and willingness to complete a self-administered questionnaire. This pilot study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of UNM.

Measures

The measures chosen have been widely utilized and previously published. We assessed self-reported racial/ethnic group, age, gender, marital status, educational attainment, income, United States nativity, and presence of a personal and/or family history of SC.

SC risk reduction behaviors: use of sunscreen, long sleeve shirts, hats, shade-seeking, and sunglasses using assessments similar to the CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) questions on individual 5-point scales (Glanz, et al., 2008).

Prior SC screening by a health professional: a face-valid question concerning prior screening (no/yes).

Perceived SC risk: an established assessment on a 4-point scale with verbal anchors was used (Weinstein, 1980).

Cancer communication: communication about cancer and cancer risk in the family on separate 4-point scales, information-seeking about health and cancer questions (yes/no), endorsements of type of information sought (National Cancer Institute [NCI], 2007) and interest in genetic testing for SC on a 5-point scale.

Skin cancer beliefs: assessment of SC preventability, diagnosis, and treatment from HINTS (NCI, 2007).

Analysis

Our goal was to examine differences among Hispanics and NHW in our domains of interest (SC risk reduction behaviors, communication, and beliefs). We examined differences between Hispanics and NHW on all variables using Chi-Square tests. Prior to regression analysis of the association between ethnicity and our dependent variables, we created low-high summary scores for each of the outcome variables (mean score for SC risk reduction behaviors, dichotomized then combined scores for cancer communication, and tally responses to nine SC belief statements for skin cancer beliefs). We included predictor variables (age, educational attainment, family SC history, and personal SC history) in the regression analysis that were related to ethnicity at the p < .10 level in the original Chi-Square tests. These models were all performed using SPSS version 21 (Chicago, IL).

Results

Of the 104 participants recruited into the study, 48 that identified as Hispanic, and 36 as NHW were included in the analyses. On average Hispanics were less educated, less likely to report a family history and personal history of SC than NHW (data available from the authors).

Significant differences in SC risk reduction and screening behaviors were observed between Hispanics and NHW; Hispanics were significantly less likely to wear a long sleeve shirt while in the sun (p = 0.01), and less likely
to have received physician SC screening than NHW (p < 0.01; data available from the authors). There were no significant differences between Hispanics and NHW on SC communication with family as well as general cancer communication variables; in fact, endorsement of these items was quite high in both groups.

Both Hispanic and NHW participants frequently endorsed belief in the importance of early detection for SC (Figure 1). Yet, information overload (“It seems like everything causes skin cancer,” “It’s hard to know which skin cancer recommendations to follow”), and other misconceptions about SC (“People with skin cancer would have pain prior to diagnosis”) were more common among Hispanics. Hispanics were also significantly more likely than NHW to report that they would be reluctant to get checked for SC due to fears that they may have it. Conversely they were less likely to endorse the idea that the progression of SC can be slowed.

**Figure 1**

Skin Cancer Beliefs in Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Whites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>NHW (%)</th>
<th>Hispanic (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular SC screening increases the chances of finding treatable cancer</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are ways to slow the development of SC*</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC develops over a period of several years</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s hard to know which SC recommendations to follow***</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC is most often caused by a person’s behavior or lifestyle</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with SC would have pain prior to diagnosis*</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It seems like almost everything causes SC*</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reluctant to get checked for SC because you may have it</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not much you can do to lower chances</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White (n=36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic (n=47)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of percent agreement to several statements on skin cancer among Hispanics (n=47) and Non-Hispanic Whites (n=36). Dark shaded square = Hispanics, Lightly shaded square = Non-Hispanic Whites. SC = Skin cancer. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. †One Hispanic participant skipped this section in its entirety.

Finally, we examined three multiple regression analyses examining our domains of interest (Table 1). In these adjusted models, we found significant differences when comparing SC beliefs across ethnicity (NHW versus Hispanic) and education (≤ high school versus ≥ College) categories. NHW reported more accurate SC beliefs than Hispanics. Participants with a college level education or greater reported more accurate SC beliefs than those with a high school education or less. In contrast, family history of SC was associated with increased SC risk reduction behaviors and cancer communication. An adjusted logistic regression model showed that neither ethnicity, nor any of the other predictor variables were related to screening (Results not shown).
Table 1

Regression Analyses with Skin Cancer Risk Reduction Behaviors, Cancer Communication, and SC Beliefs as Outcomes (N=84)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SC Risk Reduction Behaviors</th>
<th>Cancer Communication</th>
<th>Skin Cancer Beliefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (NHW/H)</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (≤ HS / ≥ College)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History of SC (No/Yes)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal History of SC (No/Yes)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001
Abbreviations: Skin Cancer (SC); Non-Hispanic White (NHW); Hispanic (H); high school (HS)

Discussion

Given that melanoma incidence and late-stage diagnosis is on the rise among some Hispanic populations (Rouhani et al., 2010), our research goals were to identify knowledge and behavior gaps that will dictate the need and content of educational materials tailored to Southwestern Hispanics. In general, we found very low levels of screening, which supports highlighting the importance of enhancing any opportunity to detect melanoma (Jaimes et al., 2013).

We found relatively high levels of existing communication about cancer in both groups. In prior work, Hispanics reported less exposure to health and cancer information than NHW (Hay et al., 2009). Our findings indicate that populations in the Southwest may be highly receptive to SC educational materials and that they may be motivated to discuss these materials with their families. One of the most important highlights of our findings is that our participants do not feel invulnerable to developing SC, which suggests receptivity to educational materials to address their potential risk.

Despite high levels of communication and information-seeking, we did identify higher levels of information overload and some misconceptions about SC in our Hispanic versus NHW groups. Over half of Hispanics endorsed some confusion about which SC recommendations to follow, and significant proportions of Hispanics compared to NHW agreed with the statement “it seems likely almost everything causes skin cancer” (24%); and “people with skin cancer would have pain or other symptoms prior to diagnosis” (24%). Similarly, in prior work we found NHW reported higher levels of awareness of accurate SC causes, prevention strategies and symptom knowledge, whereas Hispanics reported higher levels of information overload and misconceptions (Hay et al., 2009). While our sample included native born Hispanics, levels of health and cancer information-seeking, as well as misconceptions about cancer may be different among foreign born Hispanics. Accordingly, clear and manageable guidelines to address these beliefs would be useful and may need to be targeted to those at different levels of acculturation, who have likely not heretofore been the focus of public health education around SC.

Limitations

Our study has a number of important limitations. First, our pilot study findings will need to be confirmed in a larger sample. Second, our
participants were clinic waiting room volunteers, thus we do not have information on non-volunteers who may have differed on demographic or other variables of interest. Further, we did not collect information related to the purpose for their clinic visit; this may have impacted responses to the questionnaire if the visit was for any SC-related reason. It is possible that those who volunteered were more interested in SC-related topics, and thus could have been more highly-adherent to sun protection and screening with higher levels of information-seeking, than the clinic population in general. Some participants who reported that they were native born may not have wanted to reveal that they were foreign born. Third, we did not offer our survey in Spanish. About 20% of the patient population seen at UNM clinics report that they are Spanish-speaking only, so we cannot generalize our findings to these patients. We did not assess the level of acculturation in our Hispanic study population; however, greater acculturation may shift sun protection in Hispanics (Heckman & Cohen-Filipic, 2012), yet SC prevention interventions are likely needed for Hispanics regardless of acculturation level (Coups et al., 2013). Skin sensitivity information was not addressed in this study, but would be important to consider in further work as it is an important marker of SC risk, and thus need for sun protection strategies. However, there have not been any reports suggesting differences in sun protection among Hispanics after controlling for skin color and/or skin sensitivity to ultraviolet radiation. Finally, this pilot study was conducted in a medical clinic setting rather than a community-based survey, so it is not generalizable to those without access to the health care system who may likely have had greater SC misconceptions.

Conclusions

The U.S. Census Bureau (2013) estimates that by 2050, the proportion of Hispanics in the total population will rise from 15% to 30%; further increasing the public health significance of melanoma as a problem in this population. Our findings lay the groundwork for the development of educational materials geared for English-speaking Albuquerque Hispanics to raise risk awareness regarding their susceptibility, knowledge of SC risk reduction and screening methods. Finally, such materials will be a useful basis for continued research and broader dissemination to other Hispanics across the United States in the future.
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